Peritoneal Dialysis Prescription and Adequacy Monitoring

Annabelle N. Chua, MD

March 3, 2023







No financial disclosures relevant to this talk

Acknowledgment:

Some slides previously borrowed/modified from Dr. Christine Sethna





- Physiology of the peritoneal membrane
- •Clinical factors that impact peritoneal dialysis (PD) prescription in the pediatric population
- •PD prescription components
- Role of dialysis adequacy to monitor the effectiveness of peritoneal dialysis



Why Peritoneal Dialysis?

Advantages

- Vascular access not required
 - Better for infants/small children
- Improved fluid balance; less antihypertensive medications
- Fewer dietary restrictions
- Relatively safe and simple
- Allows for regular school attendance
- Better growth
- Less travel to dialysis unit

Disadvantages

- Risk of infection (peritonitis, exit site and tunnel infections)
- Hernias
- Labor intensive; increased caregiver burden
 - Risk of non-adherence
- Decreased appetite
- Body image disturbance



Contraindications to PD

ABSOLUTE

- Omphalocele
- Gastroschisis
- Bladder Exstrophy
- Diaphragmatic hernia
- Obliterated peritoneal cavity
- Peritoneal membrane failure

RELATIVE

- Presence of ileostomies and colostomies
- Infants with significant organomegaly
- Impending abdominal surgery
- Lack of appropriate caregiver for home therapy; lack of appropriate home environment
- Impending (<3-6months) living-donor kidney transplantation



Prescribing peritoneal dialysis for high-quality care in children. *Perit Dial Int 2020; 40(3)333-340.*

PD Prescription



Physiology of Peritoneal Membrane





PD Prescription Components

- Modality CAPD vs APD
- Solution
- Fill volume
- Dwell Time
- Number of Exchanges



Modality – Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis (CAPD)





Modality – Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis (CAPD)



- Provides continuous solute and fluid removal throughout the day and night
- Daytime exchanges ~5 hours
- Nighttime exchange ~9 hours
- Ease of use
- Low cost of equipment
- Often used in developing countries with limited resources available



Modality – Automated Peritoneal Dialysis (APD)



- •Continuous Cycling (CCPD)
- Nightly Intermittent (NIPD)
- •Tidal (TPD)













PD Rx: Modality

Determinants of Modality Choice

- Financial
- Center preference
- Geography
- Lifestyle
- Peritoneal membrane transport characteristics



PD Rx: Solution

Composition:

- Water
- Osmotic agent
 - Dextrose (1.5%, 2.5%, 4.25%)
 - Icodextrin
 - Amino acids
- Buffer
 - Lactate
 - Bicarbonate



- Electrolytes
 - Sodium 132-134 mEq/L
 - Chloride 96-105 mEq/L
 - Magnesium 0.25-0.5 mEq/L
 - Calcium 2-3.5 mEq/L

Duke Chi

dren's

- No potassium
- Additives
 - Heparin
 - Antibiotics

PD Rx: Solution





Kidney International 2002 62, S17-S22DOI: (10.1046/j.1523-1755.62.s81.4.x) Copyright © 2002 International Society of Nephrology <u>Terms and Conditions</u>



Solutions

Table 1 Selected peritoneal dialysis solutions currently available in Europe												
Solution (manufacturer)	рН	Chambers	Buffer	Osmotic agent	GDPs	Advantages	Disadvantages					
Dianeal® (Baxter*)	5.2	Single	Lactate	Glucose	High	Easy to manufacture; low cost	Low pH; poor peritoneal membrane biocompatibility; infusion pain; contains lactate					
Extraneal®	5.6	Single	Lactate	lcodextrin	Low	Sustained ultrafiltration; reduced	Contains lactate; low pH; single					
(Baxter*)						Glucose degradation	daily use only; hypersensitivity					
Nutrineal® (Baxter*)	5.5	Single	Lactate	Amino acids	No	products	Contains lactate; low pH; single daily use only					
Physioneal® (Baxter*)	7.4	Double	Lactate/ bicarbonate	Glucose	Low	Improved biocompatibility; preserved membrane defense; reduced infusion pain	Local and systemic glucose exposure; reduced peritoneal lactate exposure					
Stay-safe® (Fresenius‡)	5.5	Demex	Lactate	Glucose	High	Ease of manufacture; low cost	Low pH; poor peritoneal membrane biocompatibility; infusion pain; contains lactate					
Balance® (Fresenius‡)	7.0	Double	Lactate	Glucose	Low	Improved biocompatibility; preserved membrane defense; reduced risk of peritonitis?	Higher but not neutral pH; local and systemic glucose exposure; contains lactate					
BicaVera® (Fresenius‡)	7.4	Double	Bicarbonate	Glucose	Low	Improved biocompatibility; preserved membrane defense; improved correction of acidosis	Local and systemic glucose exposure					
Gambrosol® Trio (Fresenius‡)	6.5	Triple	Lactate	Glucose	Low	Improved biocompatibility; preserved membrane defense	Higher but not neutral pH; local and systemic glucose exposure; contains lactate					

*Deerfield, IL, USA. [‡]Bad Homburg, Germany. Abbreviation: GDPs, glucose degradation products.

Effects of Conventional Solutions





Effects of Conventional Solutions



Biocompatible Solutions





Effects of Biocompatible Solutions





Biocompatible Solutions in Children



kianey international (2018) **94,** 419–429; https://doi.org/10.10 j.kint.2018.02.022

PD Rx: Solution

Risk factors for loss of residual renal function in children treated with chronic peritoneal dialysis

Il-Soo Ha¹, Hui K. Yap², Reyner L. Munarriz³, Pedro H. Zambrano⁴, Joseph T. Flynn⁵, Ilmay Bilge⁶, Maria Szczepanska⁷, Wai-Ming Lai⁸, Zenaida L. Antonio⁹, Ashima Gulati¹⁰, Nakysa Hooman¹¹, Koen van Hoeck¹², Lina M.S. Higuita¹³, Enrico Verrina¹⁴, Günter Klaus¹⁵, Michel Fischbach¹⁶, Mohammed A. Riyami¹⁷, Emilja Sahpazova¹⁸, Anja Sander¹⁹, Bradley A. Warady²⁰ and Franz Schaefer²¹ for the International Pediatric Peritoneal Dialysis Network (IPPN) Registry²²

- Icodextrin associated with increased risk of developing oligoanuria HR 2.38 (1.33-4.2) and lower residual urine output (p=0.043)
- Biocompatible fluid associated with greater residual urine output (p=0.028)



PD Rx: Fill Volume

- Peritoneal membrane area is related to body size
- Use body surface area to calculate fill volume (as opposed to weight)
- Fill volume affects peritoneal membrane recruitment and diffusion capacity





PD Rx: Fill Volume

APD:

- Nocturnal fill volume:
 >2 years: 1100-1400 mL/m²
 < 2 years: 600-800 mL/m²
- Daytime Fill volume: 50% of nocturnal fill volume

CAPD:

- 600-800 mL/m² (day)
- 800-1000 mL/m² (night)





Measuring IPP

Intra-peritoneal pressure maximum 18 cm H_2O Normal 7-14 cm H_2O

- Empty bladder
- Patient placed completely flat
- Connection made to peritoneal system
- Any fluid in abdominal cavity is drained and defined volume of PD fluid is instilled
- PD line is fixed vertically
- Zero level of column (on graduated scale) is set at center of abdominal cavity, on the medial axillary line
- Connection of line to patient is opened
- Level of column of dialysis fluid in the PD line is read with a scale graduated in cm after height of column stabilizes



Fig. 1 The zero level of the column (on the graduated scale) is set at the centre of the abdominal cavity, i.e. medial axillary line

PD Rx: Excess Volume

Intra-peritoneal pressure maximum 18 cm H_2O Normal 7-14 cm H_2O

- Excess volume:
- -Loss of UF
- -Pain
- -Risk of hernia
- -Risk of hydrothorax
- -Risk of breathing problems



PD Rx: Dwell Time

Short exchanges

- Clearance of small solutes (urea)
- Better ultrafiltration

Long exchanges

- Clearance of higher molecular weight (creatinine and phosphate)
- Less ultrafiltration

Dwell time should be determined by individual peritoneal membrane transport status



PD Rx: Dwell Time

Accelerated Peritoneal Examination APEX time indicates optimal dwell time for UF





Fischbach et al Perit Dial Int. 1996; 16 Suppl 1:S557-60

PD Rx: # Exchanges

APD

- 5-10 exchanges overnight
- 9-12 hours
- Daytime dwell

CAPD

- 3-5 exchanges/day
- 4-5 hour dwell time, with longer overnight dwell



PD Rx cheat sheet

Automated PD

Solution:

1.5%, 2.5% or 4.25% dianeal *Fill volume (nocturnal):*

< 2years old = 600-800 mL/m²

> 2 years old = 1100-1400 mL/m²

Last fill (daytime):

1/2 the nocturnal fill volume #exchanges over #hours:

5-10 exchanges overnight over 9-12 hrs

Fill/Drain time: 15 minutes *Dwell time:* 40-60 minutes

CAPD

Solution:

1.5%, 2.5% or 4.25% dianeal

Fill volume (daytime):

600-800 mL/m²

Long overnight dwell(nocturnal):

 $800-1000 \text{ mL/m}^2$

exchanges:

3-5 exchanges/day with dwell time: 4 hours **AND**

1 nocturnal exchange with dwell time: ~9 hours



Consider icodextrin in long dwell if additional ultrafiltration is needed

Peritoneal Equilibration Test (PET)

- Test of peritoneal membrane transport
- •4 hour dwell, 1,100 mL/m2 BSA, 2.5% Dextrose
- "Short" PET 2 hours



Start: 0 hours

2 hours

Fnd hours

Nephrol Dial Transplant (2010) 25: 2052-2062

PET: Transporter Type

Category of peritoneal transport	D/P urea ^a	D/P creatinine ^a	D/D0 glucose ^a
High	0.91-0.94	0.77–0.88	0.12–0.21
High average	0.82-0.90	0.64–0.76	0.22-0.32
Low average	0.74–0.81	0.51-0.63	0.33–0.42
Low	0.54-0.73	0.37-0.50	0.43–0.55

^aAt a 4 h dwell of an exchange performed with 1,100 ml/m² BSA of a 2.5% dextrose solution

Creatinine

Pediatric Dialysis book, 3rd edition, 2021



Glucose

PET: Transporter Type

Transporter Type	Characteristics	Prescription
High	 Highly permeable membrane Rapid solute clearance Loss of osmotic gradient quickly (poor UF) Higher protein loss (lower albumin) 	-Shorter dwell times -NIPD, or APD with icodextrin daytime fill
High Average	-Efficient membrane -Good solute clearance -Good UF	-Any dialysis regimen
Low Average	-Less efficient membrane -Slower solute clearance -Good UF	-Any dialysis regimen, but with fewer cycles
Low	 -Low membrane permeability -Slow solute clearance -Very good UF -Lower protein loss (higher albumin) 	-Larger fill volumes -Longer duration of dwell with less cycles -CAPD or CCPD



PD Rx: Modeled Approach

Kinetic Modeling Software Based Programs

- PD-Adequest 2.0 (Baxter)
 - Validated in children*
- Patient Online (Fresenius)



*Pediatr Nephrol. 2001 Mar; 16(3):205-11

PD Rx: Adjustment

Inadequate Clearance

Inadequate Ultrafiltration

- Introduce daytime dwell
- Increase fill volume
- Lengthen dwell time
- Increase number of exchanges
- Increase solution tonicity

- Increase solution tonicity
- Icodextrin
- Shorten dwell time





Delivered dose of dialysis is "adequate":

- •Optimal growth
- •Blood pressure control
- Optimal nutritional status
- Avoidance of hypovolemia and sodium depletion
- Adequate psychomotor development



ISPD 2020 Dialysis Practice Recommendations

- Well-being of the person on dialysis is related to many different factors and not just removal of specific toxins
- High-Quality Goal-Directed PD
 - Maintain quality of life for PD patient
 - Minimize symptoms and treatment burden
 - Ensure high quality care



ISPD 2020 Dialysis Practice Recommendations

Shared decision making

Assessments:

- Patient reported outcome measures
- Fluid status
- Anemia
- Nutrition status
- Bone mineral management
- Removal of toxins

Preservation of residual kidney function



PD Rx: Assessment





PD Rx: Assessment





PD Rx: Assessment





Adequacy: Measures of Clearance

- •Solute Clearance Measures:
 - Weekly Kt/V_{urea}
 - Weekly Creatinine Clearance
- •Guidelines and consensus statements historically have favored use of Kt/V_{urea} as the 'standard' measure to follow
 - No high-quality evidence regarding need or benefit associated with achievement of specific target*

*International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis practice recommendations: Prescribing highquality goal-directed peritoneal dialysis. *Perit Dial Int 2020; 40(3)244-253*.





• "K" is representative of CLEARANCE of UREA

- -Kd = clearance of dialysis delivered-Kr = clearance of 'residual' renal function
- "t" is the time over which dialysis is delivered -In PD calculations, "t" is normally considered 24 hours/day (calculation is based on full day of dialysate/urine output, then scaled to 1 week)
- "V"_{urea} is the volume of distribution for Urea in the patient, which is the patient's TBW



Total Kt/V_{urea} = dialysis + renal clearance

Weekly Peritoneal Dialysis Kt/V

24 Hr D/P urea x 24-hr drained volume x 7

V

Weekly Renal Kt/V

mL/min Urea clearance x 1440 min/day x 7

1000 mL x V

Daily Renal Urea Clearance

Volume of 24-hr urine in mL x Urine Urea Nitrogen Conc.

1440 min/day x BUN Concentration



Total Body Water Calculation

Males: TBW = 0.010 • (height • weight)^{0.68} - 0.37 • weight Females: TBW = 0.14 • (height • weight)^{0.64} - 0.35 • weight



Table 17. Male Total Body Water (L) Nomograms

1	ŝ	
	×.	
ť,		
ŝ	z.	
	9	
2	æ	
1	2	

								Heig	ht (cm)								
	50	54	58	62	66	70	74	78	82	86	90	94	98	102	106	110	114
2	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	823333	223533	0.0000	838333	(2009) (2009)	26323		1.2.2.2.2.2.2		0.000		822.03	
3	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.4		33836	33333	333683	88882	13336	883888	833333	88399	10000	623336		
4	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.8	3.0	02022	000000	88.883 1990	200303	1.1.1.2.2.2							933333
5	2.4	2.7	2.9	3.1	3.3	200203		22222	828862		233333		2023	13332			
6	2.6	2.9	3.1	3.4	3.6	3.9	4.1										
7	2.8	3.1	3.4	3.6	3.9	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.9				S 330	0.00000			
8	2.9	3.2	3.5	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.7	5.0	5.3	5.5	5.8					S	
9	22222			4.0	4.4	4.7	5.0	5.3	5.6	5.9	6.2	6.5	6.7				
10	S33333		23340	4.2	4.6	4.9	5.2	5.6	5.9	6.2	6.5	6.8	7.1	7.4	7.7		
11	2223			4.4	4.8	5.1	5.5	5.8	6.2	6.5	6.8	7.1	7.5	7.8	8.1	8.4	8.7
12	8888 B			4.5	4.9	5.3	5.7	6.0	6.4	6.8	7.1	7.5	7.8	8.1	8.5	8.8	9.1
13	233223	0.0000		222223	23023	232222	122.2233	6.3	6.6	7.0	7.4	7.8	8.1	8.5	8.8	9.2	9.5
14	22222	100000	122220		10000			6.5	6.9	7.3	7.7	8.0	8.4	8.8	9.2	9.5	9.9
15	3892	0.02.02		22.22	10000		14. ANS	6.7	7.1	7.5	7.9	8.3	8.7	9.1	9.5	9.9	10.2
16	10000		2000	620303		C		6.8	7.3	7.7	8.1	8.6	9.0	9.4	9.8	10.2	10.6
17	000000			000000	100000				153035	1.2200	8.4	8.8	9.2	9.7	10.1	10.5	10.9
18	2223	1920.22	100000		10000			888 B		100000	8.6	9.0	9.5	9.9	10.4	10.8	11.2
19			82233								8.8	9.3	9.7	10.2	10.6	11.1	11.5
20		073003			0.000				133.630		9.0	9.4	9.9	10.4	10.9	11.3	11.8

Table 17 (cont'd). Male Total Body Water (L) Nomograms

											H	eight (cn	n)										
L	53.423	106	110	114	118	122	125	130	134	138	142	146	150	154	158	162	166	170	174	178	182	186	190
	20	10.9	11.3	11.8	12.3	12.7	13.2	13.6	14.0	14.5	14.9	15.3	15.7	1555	6555	6555	5-0-0-0	100	1.50	1555	0.6.5	55.55	0.00
	22	11.4	11.9	12.4	12.8	13.3	13.8	14.3	14.7	15.2	15.7	15.1	16.6	12222	0.000	12.2	2 10 10 1	12.00	2223	1200	222	2005	1200
- E	24	11.8	123	12.9	13.4	13.9	14.4	14.8	15.4	15.9	16.4	15.8	17.3	17.8	18.3	18.7	0.00	0000	1000	00.04	1000	6600	0000
Г	26	12.2	12.8	13.3	13.9	14.4	15.0	15.5	16.0	16.5	17.0	17.5	18.0	18.5	19.0	19.5	10.00	10 Mar 10	10000	10.00	Marchie Robert	5520	10,000
Г	28	12.6	132	13.8	14.4	14.9	15.5	16.0	16.6	17.1	17.7	18.2	18.7	19.3	19.8	20.3	20.8	21.3	1.2.2	12.20	1.4.4	2200	121212
. Г	30	13.0	136	14.2	14.8	15.4	16.0	16.6	17.1	17.7	18.3	19.8	19.4	19.9	20.5	21.0	21.6	22.1	0.8.6	CR. A. A	0.0 M	CHORGE A	ALC: NO. AL
- 1	32	13.3	14.0	14.6	15.2	15.8	16.5	17.1	17.7	18.3	18.9	19.4	20.0	23.6	21.2	21.7	22.3	22.9	23.4	24.0	1000	1000	A. 8. 8
1	34	13.6	14.3	15.0	15.6	16.3	16.9	17.5	182	18.8	19.4	20.0	20.6	212	21.8	22.4	23.0	23.6	24.2	24.7	0.20	2222	A DOM
-	36	13.9	14.6	15.3	16.0	16.7	17.3	18.0	18.7	19.3	19.9	20.6	21.2	218	22.4	23.1	23.7	24.3	24.9	25.5	26.1	26.6	A 9.8
1	38	14.2	14.9	15.7	16.4	17.1	17.8	18.4	19.1	19.8	20.4	21.1	21.9	22.4	23.0	23.7	243	24.9	25.6	26.2	26.8	27.A	0.55
	40	Caller a	10 and 10	18.0	16.7	17.4	18.1	18.8	19.5	20.2	20.9	21.6	22.3	23.0	23.6	24.3	24.9	25.6	28.2	26.9	27.5	28.1	28.8
t	42	A. 1. 1	Sec. 8.	18.3	17.0	17.8	18.5	19.2	20.0	20.7	21.4	22.1	22.8	23.5	24.2	24.9	25.5	26.2	23.9	27.5	28.2	28.8	29.5
E	44	M24580	1230.0	18.6	17.3	18.1	18.9	19.6	20.4	21.1	21.8	22.6	23.3	24.0	24.7	25.4	28.1	26.8	27.5	28.2	28.6	29.5	30.2
a [46	6666	A 10 8	16.8	17.6	18.4	19.2	20.0	20.8	21.5	22.3	23.0	25.8	24.5	25.2	26.0	28.7	27.4	28.1	28.8	29.5	30.2	30.5
5	48	A. N. A	555.	17.1	17.9	18.7	19.5	20.3	21.1	21.9	22.7	23.5	24.2	25.0	25.7	26.5	27.2	27.9	28.7	29.4	30.1	30.8	31.5
51	50	2000	0000	17.3	18.2	19.0	19.8	20.7	21.5	22.3	23.1	23.9	24.7	25.4	26.2	27.0	27.7	28.5	29.2	30.0	30.7	31.5	32.2
	52	CALC &	NO.	a nin s	14.44	1200	20.1	21.0	21.8	22.5	23.5	24.3	25.1	25.9	26.7	27.5	28.2	29.0	29.8	30.6	31.3	32.1	32.8
	54	15.00	Sec. 2.	0.0.50	1.50	1000	20.4	21.3	22.1	23.0	23.8	24.7	25.5	25.3	27.1	27.9	28.7	29.5	30.3	31.1	31.9	32.7	33.4
E	56	1.00	1222	100 M	1200	1.1.2.	20.7	21.6	22.5	23.3	24.2	25.0	25.9	29.7	27.6	28.4	29.2	30.0	30.8	31.7	32.4	33.2	34.0
-	58	CACKO!	10,00,00	N. S. M. A	MARCIE	1040404	20.9	21.8	22.8	23.7	24.5	25.4	26.3	27.1	28.0	28.8	29.7	30.5	31.4	32.2	33.0	33.8	34.6
1	60	(Coltro)	1 1 1 1 V	0-30-	1303	2012	21.2	22.1	23.1	24.0	24.9	25.8	26.7	27.5	28.4	29.3	30.1	31.0	31.8	32.7	335	34.4	35.2
1	62	5.5.5	Sec.	0.00	62522	a a a a	21A	22.4	23.3	24.3	25.2	28.1	27.0	27.9	28.8	29.7	30.6	31.5	32.3	33.2	34.0	34.9	35.7
1	64	64,8,8	330.00	18. M. 18. A	3.8.6	1, 1, 16, 1	21.7	22.6	23.6	24.5	25.5	28.4	27.4	29.3	29.2	30.1	31.0	31.9	32.8	33.7	34.5	36.4	36.3
1	66	30305	1357	0-5-55	000	10.55	000	CX NOA	1000	24.8	25.8	28.8	27.7	28.6	29.6	30.5	31.4	32.3	33.2	34.1	35.0	35.9	33.8
- 1	68	24.47	100.000	<u>100000</u>	12.20	2224	1000	1000	2222	25.1	26.1	27.1	26.0	29.0	30.0	30.9	31.8	32.0	33.7	34.6	35.6	36.4	37.3
1	70	000	00.00	1000	0000	000	CCC4	10400	0.00	25.4	26.4	27.4	28.4	29.3	30.3	31.3	32.2	33.2	34.1	35.1	33.0	36.9	37.8
1	72	203	13-3-3	0.585	1355	65.55	0.00	CHOICE	1000	25.5	26.6	27.7	28.7	29.7	30.7	31.6	32.6	33.6	34.5	35.5	35.4	37.4	38.5
	74	C. S. LO.	HCACH!	Roos	222	4.4.4.4	22.22	A Star	Cal al a	25.9	26.9	27.9	29.0	30.0	31.0	32.0	33.0	34.0	34.9	35.9	35.9	37.8	35.8
1	76	30.00	130.00	1000	1900	10 miles	1000	and the state	Sala Art	26.1	27.2	28.2	29.3	33.3	31.3	3Z.3	33.3	34.4	35.3	36.3	37.3	38.3	39.3
1	78	22.20	1000	0000	101010	2000	1200	222	1000	26.3	27.4	28.5	29.5	33.6	31.6	32.7	33.7	34.7	35.7	36.7	37.7	38.7	39.7
1	80	1. M. A. S	ALK H	4 16 16 16	16.00.00	1 4 1 C	Cil and	10 10 M	0.00	26.5	27.6	28.7	29.8	33.9	31.9	33.0	34.1	35.1	36.1	37.1	38.2	39.2	43.2
		the second second	the state and state	A	Statistics and and	- P	Aug. 1 (1 (1 ()))		And the second second second														

Table 18. Female Total Body Water (L) Nomograms

	neight (Chi)																
	50	54	58	62	66	70	74	78	82	86	90	94	98	102	106	110	114
2	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.4	123333	23333		0.000	20220	Second							
3	2.4	2.6	2.8	2.9	83833	5999 S	00000	22223	0.000	008333	939456	82.6600	SS 302		133344	3	
4	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.4	3.6	336334	233-23	333333	33333	233333	333333	\$300.000	332223	\$33333	\$22322	22333	
5	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.8	4.0	1000		22.22	1999	5565S	200323						
6	3.3	3.6	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.8	1880 C.C.	55050	200 A	900 A	204-042			S3333	1.2.2.2.2	1434446
7	3.5	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.8	4.9	5.2	5.5	5.7	S20333	232.33	2000 C				122223	
8	3.7	4.0	4.3	4.6	4.9	5.2	5.5	5.8	6.1	6.4	6.6			S.8.65	899886 8		
9	65553	10000	155572	4.9	5.2	5.5	5.8	6.1	6.4	6.7	7.0	7.3	7.6	333323		1.20.000	100000
10	33333			5.1	5.4	5.8	6.1	6.4	6.8	7.1	7.4	7.7	8.0	8.3	8.6	23253	22222
11	22222	2222		5.3	5.6	6.0	6.4	6.7	7.1	7.4	7.7	8.1	8.4	8.7	9.0	9.3	9.6
12	33392			5.4	5.8	6.2	6.6	7.0	7.3	7.7	8.0	8.4	8.7	9.1	9.4	9.7	10.0
13	3.3.3		100000	22.22	1.000	100.000	1.2.2.2.2	7.2	7.6	8.0	8.3	8.7	9.1	9.4	9.8	10.1	10.4
14	233233	12252	100000		193303	100000		7.4	7.8	8.2	8.6	9.0	9.4	9.7	10.1	10.5	10.8
15	33333	18333	100000	33333	100000			7.6	8.0	8.5	8.9	9.3	9.7	10.0	10.4	10.8	11.2
16	000000	22222	000000	20220	100000	100000	1000000	7.8	8.3	8.7	9.1	9.5	9.9	10.3	10.7	11.1	11.5
17	00000	1999	20000	2000	2.262	1.500	100.000	10000	202	2000	9.3	9.8	10.2	10.6	11.0	11.4	11.8
18	32336	1000	10000	1949	100000		132.00	1.000	20.000	9.833	9.6	10.0	10.5	10.9	11.3	11.7	12.2
19	22222	22023	10000	12200	120222	12220	2002	10000	1000	2000	9.8	10.2	10.7	11.1	11.6	12.0	12.5
20	1999	2000	100.000	12222	100000	12000			1.5	6.933	10.0	10.4	10.9	11.4	11.8	12.3	12.7

Height (cm)

Table 18 (cont'd). Female Total Body Water (L) Nomograms

											He	sight (crr	n)										
Г		106	110	114	118	122	126	130	134	138	142	145	150	154	158	162	166	170	174	178	182	186	190
	20	11.8	12.3	12.7	13.2	13.6	14.0	14.5	14.9	15.3	15.7	16.1	16.5	11-1-1-1	ALC: NO.	Collegest	1000	1142	82342	The said	100 230		
	22	12.3	12.8	13.3	13.7	14.2	14.7	15.1	15.6	16.0	16.4	16.9	17.3	Stand -	1000	532439	Real States	124534	135709	1000	19-15-5	1.5150	Marson P
	24	12.8	13.3	13.8	14.3	14.8	15.2	15.7	16.2	16.7	17.1	17.6	18.0	18.5	18.9	19.4	100000	1.000	To and	Carlos and	4.2175	10000	1000
	26	13.2	13.7	14.2	14.8	15.3	15.8	16.3	16.8	17.3	17.8	18.3	18.7	19.2	19.7	20.1	and the	1220	1200	100000	POT-20	and the second	Salah .
	28	13.6	14.1	14.7	15.2	15.8	16.3	16.8	17.3	17.9	18.4	18.9	19.4	19.9	20.4	20.9	21.3	21.8	의 관광 수당	10000	568027	1016333	200
	30	13.9	14.5	15.1	15.7	16.2	16.8	17.3	17.9	18.4	18.9	19.5	20.0	20.5	21.0	21.5	22.0	22.5	10000	1000	12000	Sector 1	Carrier .
	32	14.3	14.9	15.5	16.1	16.6	17.2	17.8	18.4	18.9	19.5	20.0	20.6	21.1	21.7	22.2	22.7	23.2	23.7	24.3	37.25		Section of the sectio
	34	14.6	15.2	15.8	16.4	17.0	17.7	18.2	18.8	19.4	20.0	20.6	21.1	21.7	22.3	22.8	23.4	23.9	24.4	25.0	100000	1.3 1.5 2	0.7.20
	36	14.8	15.5	16.2	16.8	17.4	18.1	18.7	19.3	19.9	20.5	21.1	21.7	22.3	22.8	23.4	24.0	24.5	25.1	25.6	26.2	26.7	-3-3-3-
	38	15.1	15.8	16.5	17.1	17.8	18.4	19.1	19.7	20.3	21.0	21.6	22.2	22.8	23.4	24.0	24.6	25.1	25.7	26.3	26.9	27.4	10000
- 17	40	24/20	1000	16.8	17.4	18.1	18.8	19.5	20.1	20.7	21.4	22.0	22.7	23.3	23.9	24.5	25.1	25.7	26.3	26.9	27.5	28.1	28.6
	42	Dr. and	225155	17.0	17.7	18.4	19.1	19.8	20.5	21.1	21.8	22.5	23.1	23.8	24.4	25.0	25.7	26.3	26.9	27.5	28.1	28.7	29.3
- 17	44	166.24963	22995	17.3	18.0	18.7	19.5	20.2	20.9	21.5	22.2	22.9	23.6	24.2	24.9	25.5	26.2	26.8	27.4	28.1	28.7	29.3	29.9
з Г	46	AND STREET	200033	17.5	18.3	19.0	19.8	20.5	21.2	21.9	22.6	23.3	24.0	24.7	25.3	26.0	26.7	27.3	28.0	28.6	29.3	29.9	30.5
- F	48	11110300	100-02	17.8	18.5	19.3	20.0	20.8	21.5	22.3	23.0	23.7	24.4	25.1	25.8	26.5	27.2	27.8	28.5	29.2	29.8	30.5	31.1
• F	50	10000	a call	18.0	18.8	19.6	20.3	21.1	21.8	22.6	23.3	24.1	24.8	25.5	26.2	26.9	27.6	28.3	29.0	29.7	30.4	31.0	31.7
	52	Same in	2211/22	122-88	Sel Ser	and the	20.6	21.4	22.1	22.9	23.7	24.4	25.2	25.9	26.6	27.4	28.1	28.8	29.5	30.2	30.9	31.6	32.2
-	54	Bui-Dat	222	Particular and	2000	Strates 1	20.8	21.6	22.4	23.2	24.0	24.8	25.5	26.3	27.0	27.8	28.5	29.2	29.9	30.7	31.4	32.1	32.8
	56	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	25.60	10.000	2 Jahre	Saga	21.1	21.9	22.7	23.5	24.3	25.1	25.9	26.6	27.4	28.2	28.9	29.7	30.4	31.1	31.9	32.6	33.3
	58	040300	100000	5 6 63	24 HORE	100003	21.3	22.1	23.0	23.8	24.6	25.4	26.2	27.0	27.8	28.5	29.3	30.1	30.8	31.6	32.3	33.1	33.8
	60	MONTON SI	1000	15-570-5	1022502	L.C.C.A.	21.5	22.4	23.2	24.1	24.9	25.7	26.5	27.3	28.1	28.9	29.7	30.5	31.3	32.0	32.8	33.5	34.3
	62	14.500	202043	C-C-C-2	Service States	94776	21.7	22.6	23.4	24.3	25.2	26.0	26.8	27.7	28.5	29.3	30.1	30.9	31.7	32.4	33.2	34.0	34.8
- E	64	200002	1253323	635.68	2345224	222/2	21.9	22.8	23.7	24.6	25.4	26.3	27.1	28.0	28.8	29.6	30.4	31.3	32.1	32.9	33.6	34.4	35.2
- F	66	All hards	and the	医网络纤维	1072323	Ser.	Louiseus.	130.000	100000	24.8	25.7	26.5	27.4	28.3	29.1	30.0	30.8	31.6	32.4	33.2	34.1	34.9	35.7
1	68	10212467	12.285	15000	ALC: NO.	1833362	10000	Curry 1	33700	25.0	25.9	26.8	27.7	28.6	29.4	30.3	31.1	32.0	32.8	33.6	34.5	35.3	36.1
- 11	70	1.34.828	22.22	57246	2-942/765	ISAGR 6	-923 MC	10000	10000	25.2	26.1	27.0	27.9	28.8	29.7	30.6	31.5	32.3	33.2	34.0	34.9	35.7	36.5
- 11	72	12000	308.322	S.Zank	65000	20122	575900	22223	1000	25.4	26.4	27.3	28.2	29.1	30.0	30.9	31.8	32.7	33.5	34.4	35.2	36.1	36.9
T	74	and the second	1-02-0-0	10000	States -	20100	Challen St.	22000	Charles P	25.6	26.6	27.5	28.4	29.4	30.3	31.2	32.1	33.0	33.9	34.7	35.6	36.5	37.3
1	76	30000	Contract.	120000 C	1.35.400	Sharess	070000	2000000	COLUMN A	25.8	26.8	27.7	28.7	29.6	30.6	31.5	32.4	33.3	34.2	35.1	36.0	36.8	37.7
1	78	0.52 420	15 80	Training and	Sparting and	Carles .	16 States	10000	Ch Part	26.0	27.0	27.9	28.9	29.9	30.8	31.7	32.7	33.6	34.5	35.4	36.3	37.2	38.1
1	80	10000	WORKS COL	5-32	Container.	Carlor St	282974	Long and and	15000	26.2	27.2	28.1	29.1	30.1	31.1	32.0	33.0	33.9	34.8	35.7	36.7	37.6	38.5

Weight (kg)

Solute Clearance

- •PD prescription should be adjusted with goal of achieving a normal serum phosphate level
- •Total minimum weekly Kt/V_{urea}=1.7
 - If less than 1.7 and otherwise doing well, should not have PD prescription increased for sole purpose of meeting target



Prescribing peritoneal dialysis for high-quality care in children. *Perit Dial Int 2020; 40(3)333-340.*

Residual Kidney Function

- Should be measured when UOP > 100 mL/day
- Defined as urine Kt/V_{urea} > 0.1/week
- 24 hour urine collection for urine volume and solute clearance determinations should be obtained at a minimum of every 3 months



Residual Kidney Function



Management

- The pediatric patient's clinical status should be reviewed at least monthly, and delivery of prescribed solute clearance should render the patient free of signs and symptoms of uremia
- Measure Kt/V one month after starting dialysis, when clinically needed and *at least* every 6 months
- PD effluent UF should be reviewed every month





- PD prescription must be individualized and optimized, and continually re-assessed
 - "should be designed to meet medical, mental health, social and financial needs of the individual child and family"
- Recommended minimal "delivered" dose of solute clearance should be a Kt/V_{urea} 1.7/week
- High Quality Goal Directed Care
 - BP control, fluid management, growth, nutrition, bone disease, development, and quality of life

Useful Resources

- Optimal Care of the Infant, Child and Adolescent on Dialysis: 2014 Update. Am J Kidney Dis 2014; 64(1):128-142
- Care of the Pediatric Patient on Chronic Dialysis. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis 2017; 24(6): 388-397*
- Pediatric Dialysis, 3rd Edition. Warady, Schaefer, Alexander.
 - Chapter 13 Technical Aspects of Prescription of Peritoneal Dialysis in Children, p 193-228
- Handbook of Dialysis, 5th Edition. Daugirdas, Blake and Ing.
 - Chapter 25 Adequacy of Peritoneal Dialysis p464-482
 - Chapter 26 Volume Status and Fluid Overload in Peritoneal Dialysis p483-489
 - Chapter 37 Dialysis in Infants and Childrren p693-712
- KDOQI Clinical Practice Guidelines and Clinical Practice Recommendations for 2006 Updates. Hemodialysis Adequacy, Peritoneal Dialysis Adequacy and Vascular Access. Am J Kidney Dis 2006; 28(suppl 1): S1
- Prescribing peritoneal dialysis for high-quality care in children. *Perit Dial Int 2020;* 40(3)333-340.
- International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis practice recommendations: Prescribing high-quality goal-directed peritoneal dialysis. *Perit Dial Int 2020; 40(3)244-253.*

Peritoneal Dialysis Simulator www.Openpediatrics.org Harvard University





Thank you!



Adapted APD





Fischbach et al Kidney International (2016) 89: 761-766

PET: Transporter Type

Category of peritoneal transport	D/P urea ^a	D/P creatinine ^a	D/D0 glucose ^a
High	0.91-0.94	0.77–0.88	0.12–0.21
High average	0.82-0.90	0.64–0.76	0.22-0.32
Low average	0.74-0.81	0.51–0.63	0.33–0.42
Low	0.54-0.73	0.37-0.50	0.43–0.55

 $^{\rm a}{\rm At}$ a 4 h dwell of an exchange performed with 1,100 ml/m² BSA of a 2.5% dextrose solution



B A Warady, S R Alexander, S Hossli, E Vonesh, D Geary, S Watkins, I B Salusky and E C Kohaut JASN November 1996, 7 (11) 2385-2391;

Effects of Conventional Solutions



Roumeliotis S, et al. Unfavorable Effects of Peritoneal Dialysis Solutions on the Peritoneal Membrane: the Role of Oxidative Stress. Biomolecules 2020, 10(5):768.

Ultrafiltration Adequacy: Euvolemia

Causes of Fluid Overload

- Inappropriate solution selection
- Inappropriate prescription for membrane transport status
- Non-adherence to PD or diet
- Peritoneal membrane dysfunction
- Loss of residual kidney function
- Poor blood glucose control



ISPD 2020 Dialysis Practice Recommendations

"PD prescription should be designed to meet medical, mental health, social and financial needs of the individual child and family"



International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis practice recommendations: Prescribing high-quality goal-directed peritoneal dialysis. *Perit Dial Int 2020; 40(3)244-253.*